

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Ratent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bbx 1450 Alexardri). Virginia 22313-1450 www.utgbo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,308	11/13/2001	Scott D. Leapman	P1748US00	3163
CATEWAY	7590 04/02/2007	EXAMINER		
GATEWAY, II Attention: Ken	neth J. Cool	BONSHOCK, DENNIS G		
610 Gateway Drive, MD Y-04 N. Sioux City, SD 57049			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
iv. Sloux City,	5D 57047		2173	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/014,308	LEAPMAN, SCOTT D.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dennis G. Bonshock	2173	

		Definis C. Bonshock		
The MAILING DATE of thi	s communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2007 FA	ILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final re this application, applicant must ti places the application in conditio a Request for Continued Examin- time periods:	mely file one of the follow n for allowance; (2) a No	ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	, affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	(1) the mailing date of this A tory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for a date set	ailing date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL Extensions of time may be obtained under 3 have been filed is the date for purposes of c under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1	REJECTION. See MPEP 7 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date determining the period of ex) the expiration date of the	on which the petition under 37 CFF tension and the corresponding amoshortened statutory period for reply	R 1.136(a) and the appropria ount of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Off	ate extension fee riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply may reduce any earned patent term adjustn NOTICE OF APPEAL	nent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	i.		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed or filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CF a Notice of Appeal has been filed 	R 41.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS				
 The proposed amendment(s) file (a) They raise new issues that (b) They raise the issue of new 	would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to pla appeal; and/or	ace the application in be	tter form for appeal by materiall		the issues for
(d) They present additional cla	FR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•	(TTQ)
4. The amendments are not in com5. Applicant's reply has overcome			-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended cla non-allowable claim(s). 	· · ——	·	·	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the prophow the new or amended claims. The status of the claim(s) is (or work Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	would be rejected is pro		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,15-18,20</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from conside				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence fil because applicant failed to provious was not earlier presented. See 3 	de a showing of good an			
 The affidavit or other evidence fill entered because the affidavit or showing a good and sufficient re 	other evidence failed to	overcome all rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence i REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION	s entered. An explanation			
11. The request for reconsideration See Continuation Sheet.			on in condition for allowa	ince beeause:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information D 13. ☐ Other:	Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper Note).	RAYMOND J. BA	AVERI
		F	'HIMARY EXAN	MINER
			ART UNIT 21	73

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments seem to all be centered around the combination of the Gettemy and Cheng references. To the the Examiner submits, Gettemy and Chenge teach similar systems that supply a user with an on screen indication of a fault condition that further provides the user with an idea of how to go about fixing the fault. Both furthermore help the user alleviate a fault by telling the user to correct an electrical connection, whether a power cord connected to the device, or cable containing an electrical signal (see column 1, lines 47-52 of Gettemy and column 2, lines 43 through column 3, line 21 of Cheng).

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173